

Message

---

**From:** Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 10/21/2013 9:35:08 PM  
**To:** Whalan, John [Whalan.John@epa.gov]; Makris, Susan [Makris.Susan@epa.gov]  
**CC:** Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]; Glenn, Barbara [Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** study evaluation table  
**Attachments:** Toxicological Study Evaluations suggested consistency edits AK102113.docx

Hello fellow formaldehyde toxicologists.

In an effort to shoot for consistency, I have suggested some edits to our study evaluation tables (see attached, which includes some basic text suggested for the introduction at some time past, as well as edits to our 3 appendix tables).

Mainly, I made our columns consistent:

- Incorporated GLP or guideline design under "study design"
- Incorporated "reporting" into the respective columns
- Included conclusions related to "adversity", "dose-dependency", "high concentration", "human relevance" as notes only, rather than criteria for downgrading a study.
- Removed usefulness ("utility") for dose-response from these tables

I am happy to discuss with you two, and possibly Brian (if you want to loop him in, John), further.

Note: we also need to come up with a more cohesive presentation in our evidence tables sometime in the future... Fun stuff.

-Andrew